7. On the theory of the Energy Distribution Law of the Normal Spectrum²⁰

by M. Planck

(read at the meeting of 14 December 1900) (cf. above p. 235)^{20a}

Gentlemen: when some weeks ago I had the honour to draw your attention to a new formula which seemed to me to be suited to express the law of the distribution of radiation energy over the whole range of the normal spectrum,* I mentioned already then that in my opinion the usefulness of this equation was not based only on the apparently close agreement of the few numbers, which I could then communicate to you, with the available experimental data,† but mainly on the simple²¹ structure of the formula and especially on the fact that it gave a very simple logarithmic expression²² for the dependence of the entropy of an irradiated monochromatic vibrating resonator on its vibrational energy. This formula seemed to promise in any case the possibility of a general interpretation much better than other equations which have been proposed, apart from Wien's formula which, however, was not confirmed by experiment.

Entropy means disorder, and I thought that one should find this disorder in the irregularity with which even in a completely stationary radiation field the vibrations of the resonator change their amplitude and phase, as long as one considers time intervals long compared to the period of one vibration, but short compared to the duration of a measurement. The constant energy of the stationary vibrating resonator can thus only be considered to be a time average, ²⁴ or, put differently, to be an instantaneous average of the energies of a large number of identical resonators which are in the same stationary radiation field, but far enough from one another not to influence each other directly. Since the entropy of a resonator is thus determined by the way in which the energy is distributed at one time over many resonators, I suspected that one should evaluate

^{*} M. Planck, Verh. D. Phys. Ges. 2, 202 (1900).

[†] In the meantime Mr. H. Rubens and Mr. F. Kurlbaum have given a direct confirmation for very long wave lengths. (S.B. Königl. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. of 25 October, p. 929 (1900).)²³

this quantity by introducing probability considerations into the electromagnetic theory²⁵ of radiation, the importance of which for the second law of thermodynamics was originally discovered by Mr. L. Boltzmann.* This suspicion has been confirmed; I have been able to derive deductively an expression for the entropy of a monochromatically vibrating resonator and thus for the energy distribution in a stationary radiation state, that is, in the normal spectrum. To do this it was only necessary to extend somewhat the interpretation of the hypothesis of "natural²⁶ radiation" which has been introduced by me into electromagnetic theory. Apart from this I have obtained other relations which seem to me to be of considerable importance for other branches of physics and also of chemistry.

I do not wish to give today this deduction—which is based on the laws of electromagnetic radiation, thermodynamics and probability calculus—systematically in all details, but rather to explain to you as clearly as possible the real core of the theory. This can probably be done most easily by describing to you a new, completely elementary treatment through which one can evaluate—without knowing anything about a spectral formula or about any theory—the distribution of a given amount of energy over the different colours of the normal spectrum using one constant of nature only and after that also the value of the temperature of this energy radiation using a second constant of nature. You will find many points in the treatment to be presented arbitrary and complicated, but as I said a moment ago I do not want to pay attention to a proof of the necessity and the possibility to perform it easily and practically, but to the clarity and uniqueness of the given prescriptions for the solution of the problem.

Let us consider a large number of linear, monochromatically vibrating resonators—N of frequency ν (per second), 28 N' of frequency ν'' , N'' of frequency ν'' , ..., with all N large numbers—which are properly separated and are enclosed in a diathermic 27 medium with light velocity c and bounded by reflecting walls. Let the system contain a certain amount of energy, the total energy $E_t(\text{erg})$ which is present partly in the medium as travelling radiation and partly in the resonators as vibrational

^{*} L. Boltzmann, especially S.B. Kais, Ak. Wiss. Wien II, 76, p. 373 (1877 [= 1878]).

energy. The question is how in a stationary state this energy is distributed over the vibrations of the resonators and over the various colours of the radiation present in the medium, and what will be the temperature of the total system.

To answer this question we first of all consider the vibrations of the resonators²⁹ and try to assign to them certain arbitrary energies, for instance, an energy E to the N resonators ν , E' to the N' resonators ν' , The sum

$$E + E' + E'' + ... = E_0$$

must, of course, be less than E_t . The remainder $E_t - E_0$ pertains then to the radiation present in the medium. We must now give the distribution of the energy over the separate resonators of each group, first of all the distribution of the energy E over the N resonators of frequency ν . If E is considered to be a continuously divisible quantity, this distribution is possible in infinitely many ways. We consider, however—this is the most essential point of the whole calculation—E to be composed of a well-defined number of equal parts and use thereto the constant of nature $h = 6.55 \times 10^{-27}$ erg sec. This constant multiplied by the common frequency ν of the resonators gives us the energy element e in erg, and dividing e by e we get the number e of energy elements which must be divided over the e resonators. If the ratio thus calculated is not an integer, we take for e an integer in the neighbourhood.

It is clear that the distribution of P energy elements over N resonators can only take place in a finite, well-defined number of ways. Each of these ways of distribution we call a "complexion", 33 using an expression introduced by Mr. Boltzmann for a similar concept. If we denote the resonators by the numbers 1, 2, 3, ..., N, and write these in a row, and if we under each resonator put the number of its energy elements, we get for each complexion a symbol of the following form

We have taken here N=10, P=100. The number of all possible complexions is clearly equal to the number of all possible sets of numbers which one can obtain in this way for the lower sequence for given N and P. To exclude all misunderstandings, we remark that two complexions must be considered to be

different if the corresponding sequences contain the same numbers, but in different order. From the theory of permutations we get for the number of all possible complexions

$$\frac{N(N+1) \cdot (N+2) \dots (N+P-1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \dots P} = \frac{(N+P-1)!}{(N-1)!P!}$$

or to a sufficient approximation,34

$$=\frac{(N+P)^{N+P}}{N^N P^P}.$$

We perform the same calculation for the resonators of the other groups, by determining for each group of resonators the number of possible complexions for the energy given to the group. The multiplication of all numbers obtained in this way gives us then the total number R of all possible complexions for the arbitrarily assigned energy distribution over all resonators.

In the same way any other arbitrarily chosen energy distribution³⁵ E, E', E'', \dots will correspond to the number R of all possible complexions which must be evaluated in the above manner. Among all energy distributions which are possible for a constant $E_0 = E + E' + E'' + ...$ there is one well-defined one for which the number of possible complexions R_0 is larger than for any other distribution. We then look for this energy distribution, if necessary by trial, since this will just be the distribution taken up by the resonators in the stationary radiation field, if they together possess the energy E_0 . The quantities E, E', E'', \dots can then be expressed in terms of one single quantity E_0 . Dividing E by N, E' by N', ... we obtain the stationary value of the energy U_{ν} , U_{ν}' , $U_{\nu''}$, ... of a single resonator³⁶ of each group, and thus also the spatial density of the corresponding radiation energy in a diathermic medium in the spectral range ν to $\nu + d\nu$, 37

$$u_{\nu} d\nu = \frac{8\pi \nu^2}{c^3} \cdot U_{\nu} d\nu,$$

so that the energy of the medium is also determined.

Of all quantities which occur only E_0 seems now still to be arbitrary. One sees easily, however, how one can finally evaluate E_0 from the given total energy E_t , since if the chosen value of E_0 leads, for instance, to too large a value of E_t , we must decrease it appropriately, and the other way round.³⁸

After the stationary energy distribution is thus determined using a constant h, we can find the corresponding temperature ϑ in degrees absolute* using a second constant of nature $k=1.346\times10^{-16}$ erg degree⁻¹ through the equation

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta} = k \frac{d \ln R_0}{dE_0}.$$

The product $k \ln R_0$ is the entropy³⁹ of the system of resonators; it is the sum of the entropy of all separate resonators.

It would, to be sure, be very complicated to perform explicitly the above-mentioned calculations, although it would not be without some interest to test the truth of the attainable degree of approximation in a simple case. A more general calculation which is performed very simply, using exactly the above prescriptions shows much more directly⁴⁰ that the normal energy distribution determined in this way for a medium containing radiation is given by the expression⁴¹

$$u_{\nu} d\nu = \frac{8\pi h \nu^3}{c^3} \frac{d\nu}{e^{h\nu/k\vartheta} - 1},$$

which corresponds exactly to the spectral formula which I gave earlier

$$E_{\lambda} d\lambda = \frac{c_1 \lambda^{-5}}{e^{c_2/\lambda_{\vartheta}} - 1} d\lambda.$$

The formal differences are due to the differences in the definitions of u_{ν} and E_{λ} . The first formula is somewhat more general inasfar as it is valid for an entirely arbitrary diathermic medium with light velocity c. I calculated the numerical values of h and k which I mentioned from that formula using the measurements by F. Kurlbaum and by O. Lummer and E. Pringsheim.†

I shall now make a few short remarks about the question of the necessity of the above given deduction. The fact that the chosen energy element ϵ for a given group of resonators must be proportional to the frequency ν follows immediately from

^{*} The original states "degrees centigrade" which is clearly a slip [D, t, H.].

[†] F. Kurlbaum (*Ann. Phys.* **65** [=**301**], 759 (1898)) gives $S_{100} - S_0 = 0.0731$ Watt cm⁻², while O. Lummer and E. Pringsheim (*Verh. Deutsch. Physik Ges.* **2**, 176 (1900)) give $\lambda_m \vartheta = 2940~\mu$ degree.

the extremely important so called Wien displacement law. 42 The relation between u and U is one of the basic equations of the electromagnetic theory of radiation. Apart from that, the whole deduction is based upon the single theorem that the entropy of a system of resonators with given energy is proportional to the logarithm of the total number of possible complexions for the given energy. This theorem can be split into two other theorems: (1) The entropy of the system in a given state is proportional to the logarithm of the probability of that state, and (2) The probability of any state is proportional to the number of corresponding complexions, or, in other words, any given complexion is equally probable as any other given complexion. The first theorem is, as far as radiative phenomena are concerned, just a definition of the probability of the state, insofar as we have for energy radiation no other a priori way to define the probability than the determination of its entropy. We have here one of the distinctions⁴³ from the corresponding situation in the kinetic theory of gases. The second theorem is the core of the whole of the theory presented here: in the last resort its proof can only be given empirically. It can also be understood as a more detailed definition of the hypothesis of natural radiation which I have introduced. This hypothesis I have expressed before only in the form that the energy of the radiation is completely "randomly" distributed over the various partial vibrations present in the radiation.* I plan to communicate elsewhere in detail the considerations. which have only been sketched here, with all calculations and with a survey of the development of the theory up to the present.

^{*} M. Planck, Ann. Phys. 1 [= 306], 73 (1900). When Mr. W. Wien in his Paris report (Rapports II, p. 38, 1900) about the theoretical radiation laws did not find my theory on the irreversible radiation phenomena satisfactory since it did not give the proof that the hypothesis of natural radiation is the only one which leads to irreversibility, he surely demanded, in my opinion, too much of this hypothesis. If one could prove the hypothesis, it would no longer be a hypothesis, and one did not have to formulate it at all. However, one could then not derive anything essentially new from it. From the same point of view one should also declare the kinetic theory of gases to be unsatisfactory since nobody has yet proved that the atomistic hypothesis is the only one which explains irreversibility. A similar objection could with more or less justice be raised against all inductively obtained theories.

To conclude I may point to an important consequence of this theory which at the same time makes possible a further test of its admissibility. Mr. Boltzmann* has shown that the entropy of a monatomic gas in equilibrium is equal to $\omega R \ln P_0$, where P_0 is the number of possible complexions (the "permutability") corresponding to the most probable velocity distribution, R being the well known gas constant (8.31×10^7) for O = 16), ω the ratio of the mass of a real molecule to the mass of a mole, which is the same for all substances. If there are any radiating resonators present in the gas, the entropy of the total system must according to the theory developed here be proportional to the logarithm of the number of all possible complexions, including both velocities and radiation. Since, however, according to the electromagnetic theory of radiation the velocities of the atoms are completely independent of the distribution of the radiation energy, the total numbers of complexions is simply equal to the product of the numbers relating to the velocities and the number relating to the radiation. For the total entropy we have thus

$$f \ln (P_0 R_0) = f \ln P_0 + f \ln R_0$$

where f is a factor of proportionality. The first part of the sum is the kinetic, the second part the radiation entropy. Comparing this with the earlier expressions we find

$$f = \omega R = k,$$

$$\omega = \frac{k}{R} = 1.62 \times 10^{-24},$$

that is, a real molecule is $1\cdot62\times10^{-24}$ of a mole, or, a hydrogen atom weighs⁴⁴ $1\cdot64\times10^{-24}$ g, since H=1·01, or, in a mole of any substance there are $1/\omega=6\cdot175\times10^{23}$ real molecules.⁴⁵ Mr. O. E. Meyer† gives for this number 640×10^{21} which agrees closely.⁴⁵

Loschmidt's number L, that is, the number of gas molecules in 1 cm³ at 0°C and 1 atm is⁴⁶

$$L = \frac{1013200}{R.273.\omega} = 2.76 \times 10^{19}.$$

Mr. Drude‡ finds $L=2\cdot1\times10^{19}$.

or

‡ P. Drude, Ann. Phys. 1 [=306], 578 (1900).

^{*} L. Boltzmann, S.B. Kais. Akad. Wiss. Wien II, 76, 428 (1877 [= 1878]).

[†] O. E. Meyer, "Die kinetische Theorie der Gase" 2nd ed., p. 337 (1899).

The Boltzmann–Drude constant α , that is, the average kinetic energy of an atom at the absolute temperature 1 is

$$\alpha = \frac{3}{2}\omega R = \frac{3}{2}k = 2.02 \times 10^{-16}$$
.

Mr. Drude* finds $\alpha = 2.65 \times 10^{-16}$.

The elementary quantum of electricity e, that is, the electrical charge of a positive monovalent ion or of an electron is, if ϵ is the known charge of a monovalent mole,⁴⁷

$$e = \epsilon \omega = 4.69 \times 10^{-10}$$
 e.s.u.

Mr. F. Richarz† finds 1.29×10^{-10} and Mr. J. J. Thomson‡ recently 6.5×10^{-10} .

If the theory is at all correct, all these relations should be not approximately, but absolutely, valid.⁴⁸ The accuracy of the calculated numbers is thus essentially the same as that of the relatively worst known, the radiation constant k, and is thus much better than all determinations of those quantities up to now. To test it by more direct methods should be both an important and a necessary task for further research.

^{*} loc. cit.

[†] F. Richarz, Ann. Phys. 52 [=288], 397 (1894).

[‡] J. J. Thomson, Phil. Mag. (5)46, 528 (1898).